



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 09/000,320 | 5/20/98 | WAKAMURA | 514420-3596 |

WILLIAM F LAWRENCE
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG
745 FIFTH AVENUE
NEW YORK NY 10151

IMS1/0311

| | |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| EXAMINER DOTE, J. | |
| ART UNIT 1753 | PAPER NUMBER 6 |

03/11/99

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mr. J. Rosenstock (Reg. No. 25,456) (3)
(2) Janis L. Dote (PTO) (4)

Date of interview: 9 March 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: none

Identification of prior art discussed: none

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The examiner requested that applicant's representative provide to the PTO courtesy copies of the International Search Report and copies of the non-patented references cited therein. Although copies of the International Search Report and copies of the references cited therein were present in the application when the Notification of Acceptance of the application under 35 USC 371 and 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 (From PCT/DO/EO/903) was mailed on 17 June 1998, said copies are not now present in the instant application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- ☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 of the second page of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Janis L. Dote